

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxv
PREFACE	xxiii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxvii

1.0 Introduction and Rationale for the Proposed Use of <i>In Vitro</i> Test	
Methods to Identify Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.1.1 Historical Background of <i>In Vitro</i> Ocular Irritation/Corrosion Test Methods and Rationale for Their Development	1-1
1.1.2 Peer Reviews of the HET-CAM Test Method	1-6
1.2 Scientific Basis for the HET-CAM Test Method	1-6
1.2.1 Purpose and Mechanistic Basis of the HET-CAM Test Method	1-6
1.2.2 Similarities and Differences of Modes and Mechanisms of Action Between the HET-CAM Test Method and Human Ocular Irritancy	1-7
1.2.2.1 The Mammalian Eye: Common Anatomy of the Human and Rabbit Eye.....	1-7
1.2.2.2 Differences Between Human and Rabbit Eyes.....	1-9
1.2.2.3 The <i>In Vivo</i> Rabbit Eye Test Method.....	1-9
1.2.2.4 The Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM).....	1-13
1.2.2.5 Comparison of the HET-CAM Test Method with the <i>In Vivo</i> Rabbit Eye Test Method	1-13
1.2.3 Intended Range of Substances Amenable to the HET-CAM Test Method and/or Limits of the HET-CAM Test Method	1-14
1.3 Regulatory Rationale and Applicability	1-14
1.3.1 Current Regulatory Testing Requirements and ICCVAM Prioritization Criteria.....	1-14
1.3.2 Intended Uses of the Proposed HET-CAM Test Method	1-16
1.3.3 Similarities and Differences in the Endpoints Measured in the Proposed Test Method and the <i>In Vivo</i> Reference Test Method	1-16
1.3.4 Use of Proposed Test Method in Overall Strategy of Hazard for Safety Assessment	1-17
1.4 Validation of the <i>In Vitro</i> HET-CAM Test Method	1-17

1.5	Search Strategies and Selection of Citations for the HET-CAM BRD	1-19
2.0	HET-CAM Test Method Protocol Components.....	2-1
2.1	Overview of How the HET-CAM Test Method is Conducted.....	2-1
2.2	Description and Rationale for the Test Method Components	2-1
2.2.1	Materials, Equipment, and Supplies Needed	2-2
2.2.1.1	Hen Breed.....	2-2
2.2.1.2	Criteria for Egg Use	2-2
2.2.1.3	Egg Incubation Conditions (Temperature, Relative Humidity, Egg Rotation During Incubation, and Length of Incubation).....	2-2
2.2.1.4	Rotary Saw	2-4
2.2.2	Dose-Selection Procedures, Including the Need for Any Dose Range-Finding Studies or Acute Toxicity Data Prior to Conducting a Study.....	2-4
2.2.3	Endpoints Measured	2-5
2.2.4	Exposure Parameters	2-5
2.2.4.1	Test Substance Exposure Amount or Volume	2-5
2.2.4.2	Concentration Tested	2-6
2.2.4.3	Application of Test Substance to CAM	2-6
2.2.4.4	Test Substance Exposure Duration.....	2-7
2.2.5	Known Limits of Use	2-7
2.2.6	Nature of the Response Assessed.....	2-7
2.2.7	Appropriate Controls and the Basis for their Selection.....	2-8
2.2.7.1	Negative Controls	2-8
2.2.7.2	Positive Controls.....	2-8
2.2.7.3	Solvent Controls	2-9
2.2.7.4	Benchmark Substances	2-9
2.2.8	Acceptable Range of Control Responses and the Basis for the Acceptable Ranges	2-10
2.2.8.1	Negative/Solvent Controls	2-10
2.2.8.2	Positive Controls.....	2-10
2.2.8.3	Benchmark Controls	2-12
2.2.9	Nature of the Data to be Collected and the Methods Used for Data Collection.....	2-12
2.2.9.1	Time to Development of Observed Endpoints After Exposure to the Test Substance.....	2-12
2.2.9.2	Lowest Test Substance Concentration Needed to Produce a Minimal Response on the CAM After Exposure to the Test Substance.....	2-13
2.2.9.3	Severity of Response Observed After Exposure to the Test Substance.....	2-13
2.2.10	Type of Media in Which Data Are Stored.....	2-13
2.2.11	Measures of Variability	2-14

2.2.12	Statistical or Non-Statistical Methods Used to Analyze the Resulting Data	2-14
2.2.12.1	Irritation Scores.....	2-14
2.2.12.2	Q-Score.....	2-15
2.2.12.3	Mean Detection Time.....	2-16
2.2.12.4	ITC	2-16
2.2.12.5	S-Score	2-16
2.2.12.6	SIS.....	2-16
2.2.13	Decision Criteria and the Basis for the Prediction Model Used to Classify a Test Chemical as a Severe Eye Irritant.....	2-16
2.2.13.1	Irritation Classification Based on IS	2-24
2.2.13.2	Irritation Classification Based on Q-Score.....	2-18
2.2.13.3	Irritation Classification Based on Mean Coagulation Detection Time.....	2-18
2.2.13.4	Irritation Classification Based on IS and ITC	2-18
2.2.13.5	Irritation Classification Based on S-Score	2-20
2.2.14	Information and Data that Will Be Included in the Study Report and Availability of Standard Forms for Data Collection and Submission	2-20
2.3	Basis for Selection of the HET-CAM Test Method System	2-22
2.4	Proprietary Components.....	2-22
2.5	Basis for Number of Replicate and Repeat Experiments.....	2-22
2.5.1	Sample Replicates	2-22
2.5.2	Experimental Replicates	2-22
2.6	Compliance with Good Laboratory Practices.....	2-23
2.7	Study Acceptance Criteria.....	2-23
3.0	Substances Used For Validation of the HET-CAM Test Method.....	3-1
3.1	Rationale for the Substances Selected for Use	3-1
3.1.1	CEC (1991)	3-1
3.1.2	Gettings et al. (1991, 1994, 1996).....	3-1
3.1.3	Bagley et al. (1992)	3-1
3.1.4	Balls et al. (1995)	3-2
3.1.5	Vinardell and Macián (1994)	3-2
3.1.6	Kojima et al. (1995).....	3-2
3.1.7	Gilleron et al. (1996, 1997).....	3-2
3.1.8	Spielmann et al. (1996).....	3-2
3.1.9	Hagino et al. (1999).....	3-3
3.2	Rationale for the Number of Substances Tested.....	3-3
3.3	Chemicals or Products Evaluated	3-3
3.3.1	Substances Evaluated in Reviewed Studies	3-4
3.3.1.1	CEC (1991).....	3-4
3.3.1.2	Gettings et al. (1991).....	3-5
3.3.1.3	Gettings et al. (1994).....	3-6
3.3.1.4	Gettings et al. (1996).....	3-6
3.3.1.5	Bagley et al. (1992).....	3-6

3.3.1.6	Vinardell and Macián (1994)	3-7
3.3.1.7	Balls et al. (1995).....	3-7
3.3.1.8	Kojima et al. (1995).....	3-7
3.3.1.9	Gilleron et al. (1996).....	3-7
3.3.1.10	Spielmann et al. (1996).....	3-8
3.3.1.11	Gilleron et al. (1997).....	3-8
3.3.1.12	Hagino et al. (1999).....	3-8
3.4	Coding Procedures Used in the Validation Studies.....	3-9
3.4.1	Gettings et al. (1991, 1994, 1996).....	3-9
3.4.2	Bagley et al. (1992).....	3-9
3.4.3	Balls et al. (1995).....	3-9
3.4.4	Spielmann et al. (1996).....	3-9
3.4.5	Hagino et al. (1999).....	3-9
4.0	In Vivo Reference Data Used for an Assessment of Test Method Accuracy	4-1
4.1	Description of Protocol Used to Generate <i>In Vivo</i> Data	4-1
4.1.1	Draize Rabbit Eye Test.....	4-1
4.1.2	Current <i>In Vivo</i> Ocular Irritation Test Method Protocols	4-2
4.1.3	Current <i>In Vivo</i> Ocular Irritancy Classification Systems	4-7
4.2	Detailed Reference Data Used to Assess <i>In Vitro</i> Test Method Accuracy.....	4-8
4.3	<i>In Vivo</i> Classification Criteria Used for BRD Analysis.....	4-10
4.3.1	GHS Classification Rules Used for BRD Analysis.....	4-11
4.3.2	EPA Classification Rules Used for BRD Analysis	4-11
4.3.3	EU Classification Rules Used for BRD Analysis	4-13
4.4	Availability of Original Records for the <i>In Vivo</i> Reference Data	4-14
4.5	<i>In Vivo</i> Data Quality	4-14
4.6	Availability and Use of Toxicity Information from the Species of Interest.....	4-16
4.7	Information About Accuracy and Reliability of the <i>In Vivo</i> Test Method	4-16
4.7.1	Information About the Accuracy of the <i>In Vivo</i> Test Method.....	4-16
4.7.2	Information About the Reliability of the <i>In Vivo</i> Test Method.....	4-16
5.0	HET-CAM Test Method Data And Results.....	5-1
5.1	Description of the HET-CAM Test Method Protocols Used to Generate Data	5-1
5.2	Availability of Copies of Original Data Used to Evaluate the Accuracy and Reliability	5-1
5.3	Description of the Statistical Approaches Used to Evaluate the Resulting Data	5-2
5.3.1	IS	5-3
5.3.1.1	IS Analysis Method	5-3

	5.3.1.2	IS Classification Scheme.....	5-4	
5.3.2	Q-Score	5-4		
	5.3.2.1	Q-Score Analysis Method	5-4	
	5.3.2.2	Q-Score Classification Scheme	5-4	
5.3.3	mtc10	5-5		
	5.3.3.1	mtc10 Analysis Method	5-5	
	5.3.3.2	mtc10 Classification Scheme.....	5-5	
5.3.4	IS and ITC.....	5-5		
	5.3.4.1	IS and ITC Analysis Method	5-5	
	5.3.4.2	IS and ITC Classification Scheme.....	5-5	
5.3.5	S-Score.....	5-6		
	5.3.5.1	S-Score Analysis Method.....	5-6	
	5.3.5.2	S-Score Classification Scheme.....	5-6	
5.4	Summary of Results	5-6		
	5.4.1	CEC (1991)	5-7	
	5.4.2	Gettings et al. (1991)	5-7	
	5.4.3	Gettings et al. (1994)	5-7	
	5.4.4	Gettings et al. (1996)	5-7	
	5.4.5	Bagley et al. (1992)	5-8	
	5.4.6	Vinardell and Macián (1994)	5-8	
	5.4.7	Balls et al. (1995)	5-8	
	5.4.8	Kojima et al. (1995).....	5-9	
	5.4.9	Gilleron et al. (1996)	5-9	
	5.4.10	Spielmann et al. (1996).....	5-9	
	5.4.11	Gilleron et al. (1997)	5-10	
	5.4.12	Hagino et al. (1999).....	5-10	
5.5	Use of Coded Chemicals and Compliance with GLP Guidelines	5-10		
5.6	Lot-to-lot Consistency of Test Substances.....	5-10		
5.7	Availability of Data for External Audit.....	5-11		
6.0	HET-CAM Test Method Accuracy	6-1		
6.1	Accuracy of the HET-CAM Test Method.....	6-3		
	6.1.1	GHS Classification System: HET-CAM Test Method Accuracy	6-3	
		6.1.1.1	Overall Test Method Accuracy.....	6-4
		6.1.1.2	Discordant Results According to the GHS Classification System	6-4
	6.1.2	EPA Classification System: HET-CAM Test Method Accuracy	6-12	
		6.1.2.1	Overall Test Method Accuracy.....	6-12
		6.1.2.2	Discordant Results According to the EPA Classification System	6-16
	6.1.3	EU Classification System: HET-CAM Test Method Accuracy	6-20	
		6.1.3.1	Overall Test Method Accuracy.....	6-20

6.1.3.2	Discordant Results According to the EU Classification System.....	6-25
6.2	Accuracy of the HET-CAM Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants – Summary of Results	6-30
6.2.1	Discordance Among Chemical Classes.....	6-30
6.2.1.1	IS(A)-10 and IS(A)-100 Analysis Methods	6-30
6.2.1.2	IS(B)-10 and IS(B)-100 Analysis Methods.....	6-30
6.2.1.3	Q-Score Analysis Method.....	6-31
6.2.1.4	S-Score Analysis Method.....	6-31
6.2.2	Discordance Among Physical or Chemical Properties of Interest	6-31
6.2.2.1	IS(A)-10 and IS(A)-100 Analysis Methods	6-31
6.2.2.2	IS(B)-10 and IS(B)-100 Analysis Methods.....	6-32
6.2.2.3	Q-Score Analysis Method.....	6-32
6.2.2.4	S-Score Analysis Method.....	6-32
7.0	HET-CAM Test Method Reliability	7-1
7.1	Selection Rationale for the Substances Used to Evaluate the Reliability of the HET-CAM Test Method	7-1
7.2	Analyses of Repeatability and Reproducibility	7-2
7.2.1	Quantitative Assessment of Intralaboratory Repeatability	7-2
7.2.1.1	Gilleron et al. (1996).....	7-2
7.2.1.2	Gilleron et al. (1997).....	7-4
7.2.2	Quantitative Assessment of Intralaboratory Reproducibility.....	7-5
7.2.2.1	Gilleron et al. (1996).....	7-5
7.2.2.2	Gilleron et al. (1997).....	7-7
7.2.3	Quantitative and Qualitative Assessments of Assessment of Interlaboratory Reproducibility	7-10
7.2.3.1	Qualitative Analysis of the Interlaboratory Reproducibility of Hazard Classification Category Using the GHS Classification System.....	7-11
7.2.3.2	Qualitative Analysis of the Interlaboratory Reproducibility of Hazard Classification Category Using the EPA Classification System.....	7-15
7.2.3.3	Qualitative Analysis of the Interlaboratory Reproducibility of Hazard Classification Category Using the EU Classification System....	7-20
7.2.3.4	Common Chemical or Product Classes Among Test Substances with Discordant Interlaboratory Results Based on Qualitative Analyses	7-24

7.2.3.5	Quantitative Analysis of the Interlaboratory Reproducibility of Hazard Classification Category	7-25
7.2.4	Additional Analyses of Interlaboratory Reproducibility	7-35
7.2.4.1	Balls et al. (1995).....	7-35
7.2.4.2	Blein et al. (1991)	7-36
7.2.4.3	Doucet et al. (1999).....	7-36
7.2.4.4	Spielmann et al. (1991)	7-36
7.2.4.5	Spielmann et al. (1993)	7-36
7.3	Historical Positive and Negative Control Data.....	7-38
7.3.1	Historical Positive Control Data	7-38
7.3.1.1	Positive Control Studies Using DMF and Imidazole	7-38
7.3.1.2	Positive Control Studies Using SDS and NaOH	7-39
7.3.2	Historical Negative Control Data.....	7-49
7.4	Summary.....	7-40
8.0	Test Method Data Quality.....	8-1
8.1	Adherence to National and International GLP Guidelines.....	8-1
8.2	Data Quality Audits	8-1
8.3	Impact of Deviations from GLP Guidelines.....	8-2
8.4	Availability of Laboratory Notebooks or Other Records.....	8-2
8.5	Need for Data Quality	8-2
9.0	Other Scientific Reports And Reviews.....	9-1
9.1	Reports in the Peer Reviewed Literature.....	9-1
9.1.1	Bagley et al. (1992)	9-1
9.1.2	Balls et al. (1995)	9-1
9.1.3	Blein et al. (1991)	9-2
9.1.4	Brantner et al. (2002).....	9-3
9.1.5	Brantom et al. (1997) and Steiling et al. (1999).....	9-4
9.1.6	Budai et al. (1997) and Budai and Várnagy (2000)	9-5
9.1.7	CEC (1991)	9-5
9.1.8	Dannhardt et al. (1996)	9-5
9.1.9	Demirci et al. (2003).....	9-6
9.1.10	Demirci et al. (2004).....	9-6
9.1.11	de Silva et al. (1992).....	9-6
9.1.12	Djabari et al. (2002).....	9-7
9.1.13	Doucet et al. (1999)	9-8
9.1.14	Gettings et al. (1991, 1994, 1996) and Lordo et al. (1999).....	9-8
9.1.15	Gilleron et al. (1996)	9-10
9.1.16	Gilleron et al. (1997)	9-11
9.1.17	Hagino et al. (1991).....	9-11
9.1.18	Hagino et al. (1993).....	9-12
9.1.19	Hagino et al. (1999) and Ohno et al. (1999)	9-12

9.1.20	Kalweit et al. (1987).....	9-13
9.1.21	Kalweit et al. (1990).....	9-13
9.1.22	Kojima et al. (1995).....	9-14
9.1.23	Lawrence et al. (1990).....	9-14
9.1.24	Lönnroth et al. (1999).....	9-14
9.1.25	Luepke (1985).....	9-14
9.1.26	Luepke and Kemper (1986).....	9-15
9.1.27	Macián et al. (1996).....	9-15
9.1.28	Reinhardt et al. (1987).....	9-15
9.1.29	Rougier et al. (1992).....	9-16
9.1.30	Schlage et al. (1999).....	9-16
9.1.31	Spielmann et al. (1991, 1993, 1996)	9-16
9.1.32	Spielmann et al. (1997).....	9-18
9.1.33	Sterzel et al. (1990)	9-20
9.1.34	van Erp et al. (1990).....	9-20
9.1.35	Vinardell and García (2000)	9-20
9.1.36	Vinardell and Macián (1994).....	9-20
9.1.37	Vives et al. (1997).....	9-21
9.1.38	Wilson and Steck (2000)	9-21
9.1.39	Worth and Cronin (2001)	9-21
9.2	Data Received in Response to the ICCVAM <i>Federal Register</i> Notice or from Study Authors	9-22
10.0	Animal Welfare Considerations (Refinement, Reduction, and Replacement)	10-1
10.1	How the HET-CAM Test Method Will Refine, Reduce, or Replace Animal Use.....	10-1
10.2	Requirement for the Use of Animals	10-1
11.0	Practical Considerations	11-1
11.1	Transferability of the HET-CAM Test Method.....	11-1
11.1.1	Facilities and Major Fixed Equipment Required to Conduct a Study	11-1
11.1.2	General Availability of Other Necessary Equipment and Supplies	11-1
11.2	Training Considerations	11-1
11.2.1	Required Level of Training and Expertise Needed to Conduct the HET-CAM Test Method	11-2
11.2.2	Training Requirements Needed to Demonstrate Proficiency	11-2
11.3	Cost Considerations	11-2
11.4	Time Considerations	11-2
12.0	References.....	12-1
13.0	Glossary	13-1

Appendix A	Protocols for the HET-CAM Test Method.....	A-1
A1	INVITTOX Protocol 47. The HET-CAM Test – Method of Spielmann and Liebsch	A-3
A2	Table of HET-CAM Protocols from the Reviewed Literature Used to Assess Ocular Irritancy	A-13
Appendix B	Chemical and Product Class Information for Substances Tested in the HET-CAM Test Method	B-1
B1	Table of Chemical and Product Class Information.....	B-3
B2	Table of Formulation Components in Gettings et al. (1991).....	B-19
B3	Table of Formulation Components in Gettings et al. (1994).....	B-25
B4	Table of Formulation Components in Gettings et al. (1996).....	B-35
Appendix C	<i>In Vitro</i> Data for Substances Tested in the HET-CAM Test Method.....	C-1
C1	Test Method Protocols used to Generate <i>In Vitro</i> Data	C-3
C2	Data Sorted by Reference.....	C-15
C3	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A) Analysis Method	C-53
C4	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-10 Analysis Method	C-63
C5	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-100 Analysis Method	C-69
C6	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(B) Analysis Method.....	C-75
C7	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-10 Analysis Method	C-89
C8	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-100 Analysis Method	C-111
C9	Data Sorted by Substance for Q-Score Analysis Method	C-139
C10	Data Sorted by Substance for S-Score Analysis Method.....	C-149
Appendix D	<i>In Vivo</i> and <i>In Vitro</i> Comparison of Ocular Irritancy Classification	D-1
D1	Data Sorted by Reference.....	D-3
D2	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A) Analysis Method	D-17
D3	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-10 Analysis Method	D-23
D4	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(A)-100 Analysis Method	D-27
D5	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(B) Analysis Method.....	D-31
D6	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(B)-10 Analysis Method	D-45
D7	Data Sorted by Substance for IS(B)-100 Analysis Method	D-57
D8	Data Sorted by Substance for Q-Score Analysis Method	D-75
D9	Data Sorted by Substance for S-Score Analysis Method.....	D-81
Appendix E	Intralaboratory Repeatability and Intralaboratory Reproducibility Data and Results for Gilleron et al. (1996, 1997)	E-1
E1	Individual Egg HET-CAM Scores (Endpoints Evaluated and Overall Irritancy) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1996)	E-3
E2	Individual Egg HET-CAM Scores (Endpoints Evaluated and Overall Irritancy) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1997)	E-11

E3	Intralaboratory Repeatability Results (Expressed as %CV) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1996).....	E-21
E4	Intralaboratory Repeatability Results (Expressed as %CV) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1997).....	E-29
E5	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results (Expressed as %CV) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1996)	E-37
E6	Intralaboratory Reproducibility Results (Expressed as %CV) for Data of Gilleron et al. (1997)	E-41
Appendix F	Interlaboratory Reproducibility Data.....	F-1
F1	Individual HET-CAM Test Laboratory Data for CEC (1991).....	F-3
F2	Average HET-CAM Scores and Coefficient of Variations for Substances Evaluated in Hagino et al. (1999) and Ohno et al. (1999).....	F-13
Appendix G	Interlaboratory Correlation Coefficients from the EC/HO Validation Study (Balls et al. 1995)	G-1
Appendix H	Positive Control Data.....	H-1
H1	Individual HET-CAM Egg Data for Dimethylformamide (provided by Drs. Vanparrys and van Goethem).....	H-3
H2	Individual HET-CAM Egg Data for Imidazole (provided by Drs. Vanparrys and van Goethem)	H-9
H3	Individual HET-CAM Egg Data for Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate and Sodium Hydroxide (provided by Drs. Spielmann and Liebsch)	H-11
Appendix I	Individual HET-CAM Scores for Eggs Treated with 0.9% Sodium Chloride	I-1